

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,577	09/22/2003	Carl M. Ross	NON PROV-1	9649
7590 09/15/2006 .			EXAMINER	
Carl M. Ross			GILLAN, RYAN P	
1654 Fifth Ave Bayshore, NY 11706			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3746	
			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/667,577	ROSS, CARL M.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Ryan P. Gillan	3746		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	idress	
A SHOWHIC - Externafter - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIN asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNITY CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may cation.  bry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Min, by statute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this c ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•	
Status					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed of This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	☑ This action is non-final.  allowance except for formal ma	·	e merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers The specification is objected to by the E	withdrawn from consideration.			
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 September 2</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be	2003 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or bear to the drawing(s) be held in abey e correction is required if the drawing	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
2)  Notic 3) Infor	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	-948) Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number: 10/667,577

Art Unit: 3746

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 10-14 recite the limitation "the system of claim" in the first line of each claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The independent claim (claim 9) from which these all depend, claims a method and therefore, for purposes of examination it is presumed that claims 10-14 are referring the method as recited in claim 9.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Izaguirre (5,305,779) in view of Koening et al. (5,923,102). Izaguirre, Figures 1a-2b, teach a pumping system and an associated method for sensing and moving liquid comprising a liquid sensor (28, 29, 86, and also 74, 78, 80, 82) for sensing liquid and being constructed and arranged to provide an electrical signal when exposed to the liquid. The device also includes a control unit (Figure 2b) comprising a relay (104-1, 106, 106-1, 112), whereby the relay (104-1, 106, 106-1, 112) becomes activated by the electrical signal provided by the sensor (28, 29, 86, and also 74, 78, 80, 82). The system includes a pump (68) for moving liquid that has been sensed by the sensor (28, 29, 86, and also 74, 78, 80, 82) from a first location (in tank 66) to a second location

(outside the 66). When the relay (start relay 104-1) becomes activated (by sensor 29, 80), the pump (68) is powered on.

- 4. The Izaguirre device differs from the claimed invention in that there is no explicit teaching of a power converter for converting an input AC voltage to a DC voltage where the DC voltage is output from the power converter of the electric motor of the pump and the control unit and power converter are not separate from the pump.
- 5. AC lines (108, 110) indicate that the power supply of the system is an AC voltage source. It was well known in the art that AC power sources are more economical to use and transport than DC voltage sources. However, DC motors are inexpensive and versatile for pump actuation. Koenig et al. teaches an analogous sump pump, control, and monitoring system. Koenig et al. also teaches an AC power source (13) for the pump, which is converted to DC for direct consumption by the pump motor (col. 5 lines 24-43). This power converter device (12), which along with the controller, are separate from the pump (clearly seen in figure 1), allows the use of readily available and efficient AC power coupled with an inexpensive and versatile DC motor. Furthermore, the Koenig et al. device includes a battery backup power supply in the event AC power is unavailable (col. 5 lines 38-43). Power sources are adjusted with automatic switches (col. 5 lines 24-43). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the Izaguirre device by, incorporating the AC power converter, as taught by Koenig et al., in order to advantageously allow the use of readily available and efficient AC power coupled with an inexpensive and versatile DC motor. Furthermore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

Application/Control Number: 10/667,577

Art Unit: 3746

time of the invention to modify the Izaguirre device by, incorporating the AC power supply with the DC power backup and switch, as taught by Koenig et al. in order to advantageously prevent pumping disruption in the event of AC power loss (col. 5 lines 38-43).

Page 4

- 6. A second liquid sensor (82, 106-1, 106-2, see col. 8 lines 12-17) activates a second relay (112) of the control unit (Figure 2b), which supplies DC voltage to a visual and audible notification means (horn 116, light 114) for indicating the presence of an initial collection of liquid.
- 7. The system includes a switch (94) for bypassing the sensor so that the pump may, in a manual mode, obtain power duty from the control (Figure 2b) unit without the need to sense liquid in an automatic mode (col. 7 line 65 col. 8 line 2).
- 8. With respect to the exact voltage conversion from 120 volts AC to 12 volts DC, where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation. In re Swain et al., 33 CCP (Patents) 12550, 156 F.2d 239, 70 USPQ 412; Minnesota Mining and Mfg. Co. v. COE, 69 App. D.C. 217, 99 F.2d 986, 38 USPQ 213; Allen et al. v. Coe, 77 App. D.C. 324, 135 F.2d 11, 57 USPQ 136.
- 9. Claims 9-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Izaguirre (5,305,779) in view of Koening et al. (5,923,102) and Adahan (5,592,030). The combination of Izaguirre and Koening et al. teach all of the above cited claim limitations, but fail to explicitly teach a transformer as part of the power converter.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,577

Art Unit: 3746

10. Adahan teaches a power converter for electrical motors and pumps (abstract). Adahan also teaches a transformer as part of the power converter (col. 1 lines 39-63). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the power converter as taught by Koening et al. to include a transformer as a means of not only converting the voltage, but also to store the energy before supplying it to the pump motor or battery (col. 4 lines 9-14).

Page 5

- 11. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Izaguirre (5,305,779) in view of Koening et al. (5,923,102), Adahan (5,592,030) and Niedermeyer (3,941,507). The combination of Izaguirre, Koening et al. and Adahan teach all of the limitations of the claims as cited above, but fail to teach a telephone dialer system as the notification means.
- 12. Niedermeyer teaches an analogous sump pump monitoring system that also includes a telephone dialer as a notification of a variety of sensor readings (abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the notification sensor of Izaguirre to include a telephone dialer as an automatic means of summoning assistance for simple notification of an existing condition (abstract).

## Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The new grounds of rejection as cited above have overcome the amendments made by the applicant.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,577 Page 6

Art Unit: 3746

With regard to applicant's argument that the combination of Izaguirre and Leighton was inappropriate due to the lack of the prior art itself setting forth a "specific teaching that recommends combining the references," the examiner finds this argument unpersuasive. In response to Applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the Examiner recognizes that references cannot be arbitrarily combined and that there must be some reason why one skilled in the art would be motivated to make the proposed combination of primary and secondary references. *In re Nomiya*, 184 USPQ 607 (CCPA 1975). However, there is no requirement that a motivation to make the modification be expressly articulated. The test for combining references is what the combination of disclosures, taken as a whole, would suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art. *In re McLaughlin*, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

References are evaluated by what they suggest to one versed in the art, rather than by their specific disclosures. *In re Bozek*, 163 USPQ 545 (CCPA. 1969).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan P. Gillan whose telephone number is 571-272-8381. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5:00 pm; Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Thorpe can be reached on 571-272-4444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,577 Page 7

Art Unit: 3746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**RPG** 

ANTHONY D. STASHICK PRIMARY EXAMINER